IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,	8:04CR108
v.)
COREY L. KNIGHT,) MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
Defendant.)

This matter is before the Court on defendant's motion for rehearing and clarification (Filing No. 53) and motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of defendant's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion and to amend his prior § 2255 motion to include an ineffective assistance of counsel claim (Filing No. 54). The Court denied defendant's motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on January 18, 2007 (Filing No. 52).

The Court finds that defendant's motion to amend should be denied for the following reasons: (1) defendant's motion to amend was not filed until after the Court denied the motion and defendant received the order; (2) the motion to amend was filed more than one year after the Court entered final judgment against the defendant on the underlying conviction; and (3) the Court construes defendant's motion as a successive § 2255 motion.

The Court will also deny defendant's motion for clarification and reconsideration because they fail to identify what defendant seeks to be clarified. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's motion for rehearing and clarification (Filing No. 53) is denied; and
- 2) Defendant's motion for reconsideration and to amend his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion is denied.

DATED this 29th day of March, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lyle E. Strom

LYLE E. STROM, Senior Judge United States District Court